

No.

8900178



THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME:

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.

Whereas, THERE HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE
Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF *eighteen* YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY LAW, THE RIGHT TO EXCLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT, IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT VARIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT (P.L. 542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'9582'

In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto set
my hand and caused the seal of the Plant
Variety Protection Office to be affixed
at the City of Washington, D. C.
this 29th day of March in
the year of our Lord one thousand nine
hundred and ninety-one.

Attest:

Kenneth H. Evans
Commissioner
Plant Variety Protection Office
Agricultural Marketing Service

Ed Madigan
Secretary of Agriculture

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

FORM APPROVED: OMB NO. 0581-0055

Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).

APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

(Instructions on reverse)

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1. NAME OF APPLICANT(S) Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. | | 2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION | | 3. VARIETY NAME 9582 | |
| 4. ADDRESS (Street and No. or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code) 700 Capital Square 400 Locust Street Des Moines, IA 50309 | | 5. PHONE (Include area code) 319-234-0335 | | FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER 8900178 | |
| 6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME Glycine Max | | 7. FAMILY NAME (Botanical) Leguminosae | | FILING DATE Apr. 17, 1989 TIME <input type="checkbox"/> A.M. <input type="checkbox"/> P.M. | |
| 8. KIND NAME Soybean | | 9. DATE OF DETERMINATION October, 1983 January, 1987 (increase) | | AMOUNT FOR FILING \$ 1800.00 DATE Apr. 17, 1989 AMOUNT FOR CERTIFICATE \$ 200.00 DATE Feb. 11, 1991 | |
| 10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZATION (Corporation, partnership, association, etc.) Corporation | | | | FEES RECEIVED | |
| 11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION Iowa | | | | 12. DATE OF INCORPORATION 1926 | |
| 13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SERVE IN THIS APPLICATION AND RECEIVE ALL PAPERS Clark W. Jennings 3261 West Airline Highway Waterloo, IA 50703-9610 Mary Helen Mitchell (copy) 700 Capital Square - 400 Locust Street Des Moines, IA 50309 PHONE (Include area code): | | | | | |
| 14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | | | | | |
| a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety (See Section 52 of the Plant Variety Protection Act.) | | | | | |
| b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. | | | | | |
| c. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety (Request form from Plant Variety Protection Office.) | | | | | |
| d. <input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. | | | | | |
| e. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership. | | | | | |
| 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS A CLASS OF CERTIFIED SEED? (See Section 83(a) of the Plant Variety Protection Act.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If "Yes," answer items 16 and 17 below) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | |
| 16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | 17. IF "YES" TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PRODUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED? <input type="checkbox"/> Foundation <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Certified | | |
| 18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIETY IN THE U.S.? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If "Yes," give date) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | |
| 19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARKETING IN THE U.S. OR OTHER COUNTRIES? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If "Yes," give names of countries and dates) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | |
| 20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds of this variety will be furnished with the application and will be replenished upon request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicable. The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sexually reproduced novel plant variety, and believe(s) that the variety is distinct, uniform, and stable as required in Section 41, and is entitled to protection under the provisions of Section 42 of the Plant Variety Protection Act. Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein can jeopardize protection and result in penalties. | | | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT Clark Jennings | | | | DATE April 6, 1989 | |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT | | | | DATE | |

Attachment: 9582 Soybean (April, 1989)

Exhibit A: Variety 9582 evolved from a cross of (Centennial X Bedford) X D77-5090. D77-5090 was later released by ARS as "Epps". It is an F3-derived variety which was advanced to the F3 generation in winter nurseries in Hawaii. The F4 progeny row of 9582 was grown in a yield test in Tennessee during the summer of 1983. Subsequently, 9582 has undergone five years of extensive testing, nematode screening and purification. This variety has been observed by the breeders to be uniform and stable for all plant traits from generation to generation with no evidence of variants.

7.0 acres of 9582 (breeder's seed) were grown in Tennessee during 1987. 42 acres of parent seed (foundation seed equivalent) were grown in Arkansas during 1988.

Exhibit B: Variety 9582 is most similar to variety 9581. However, 9582 has a higher level of resistance to the southern rootknot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) than does 9581 and is significantly later in maturity by 2.5 days (see Tables 1 & 2.)

Exhibit E: Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. is the sole, original, and first breeder of soybean variety 9582, for which it solicits a certificate of protection.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C
(Soybean)

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY
SOYBEAN (*Glycine max* L.)

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| NAME OF APPLICANT(S) Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. | TEMPORARY DESIGNATION | VARIETY NAME 9582 |
| ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code) 700 Capital Square 400 Locust Street Des Moines, IA 50309 | | FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY PVPO NUMBER 8900178 |

Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the variety in the features described below. When the number of significant digits in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided, place a zero in the first box when number is 9 or less (e.g.,).

1. SEED SHAPE:



1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = ≤ 1.2)
3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2 ; T/W = ≤ 1.2)

2 = Spherical Flattened (L/W ratio > 1.2 ; L/T ratio = ≤ 1.2)
4 = Elongate Flattened (L/T ratio > 1.2 ; T/W > 1.2)

2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)

1 = Yellow

2 = Green

3 = Brown

4 = Black

5 = Other (Specify) _____

3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)

1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton')

2 = Shiny ('Nebsoy'; 'Gasoy 17')

4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)

Grams per 100 seeds

5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)

1 = Buff

2 = Yellow

3 = Brown

4 = Gray

5 = Imperfect Black

6 = Black

7 = Other (Specify) _____

6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)

1 = Yellow

2 = Green

7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:

1 = Low

2 = High

8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:

1 = Type A (SP1^a)2 = Type B (SP1^b)

9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:

1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis')

2 = Green with bronze band below cotyledons ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')

3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71')

4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; 'Coker Hampton 266A')

10. LEAFLET SHAPE:

1 = Lanceolate

2 = Oval

3 = Ovate

4 = Other (Specify) _____

11. LEAFLET SIZE:

2

1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312')
3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')

2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17')

12. LEAF COLOR:

2

1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York')
3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')

2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton')

13. FLOWER COLOR:

1

1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat

14. POD COLOR:

1

1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black

15. PLANT PUBESCENCE COLOR:

2

1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)

16. PLANT TYPES:

2

1 = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71')
3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')

2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton')

17. PLANT HABIT:

1

1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton')
3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican')

2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will')

18. MATURITY GROUP:

0 8

1 = 000 2 = 00 3 = 0 4 = I 5 = II 6 = III 7 = IV 8 = V
9 = VI 10 = VII 11 = VIII 12 = IX 13 = X

19. DISEASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)

BACTERIAL DISEASES:

2

Bacterial Pustule (*Xanthomonas phaseoli* var. *sojensis*)

0

Bacterial Blight (*Pseudomonas glycinea*)

2

Wildfire (*Pseudomonas tabaci*)

FUNGAL DISEASES:

0

Brown Spot (*Septoria glycines*)Frogeye Leaf Spot (*Cercospora sojae*)

0

Race 1

0

Race 2

0

Race 3

0

Race 4

0

Race 5

0

Other (Specify)

0

Target Spot (*Corynespora cassiicola*)

0

Downy Mildew (*Peronospora trifoliorum* var. *manshurica*)

0

Powdery Mildew (*Microsphaera diffusa*)

0

Brown Stem Rot (*Cephalosporium gregatum*)

1

Stem Canker (*Diaporthe phaseolorum* var. *caulivora*)

19. DISEASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) (Continued)

FUNGAL DISEASES: (Continued)

☐ 0 Pod and Stem Blight (*Diaporthe phaseolorum* var. *sojae*)☐ 0 Purple Seed Stain (*Cercospora kikuchii*)☐ 0 Rhizoctonia Root Rot (*Rhizoctonia solani*)Phytophthora Rot (*Phytophthora megasperma* var. *sojae*)☐ 1 Race 1 ☐ 0 Race 2 ☐ 0 Race 3 ☐ 0 Race 4 ☐ 0 Race 5 ☐ 0 Race 6 ☐ 0 Race 7☐ 0 Race 8 ☐ 0 Race 9 ☐ 0 Other (Specify) _____

VIRAL DISEASES:

☐ 0 Bud Blight (Tobacco Ringspot Virus)☐ 0 Yellow Mosaic (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)☐ 0 Cowpea Mosaic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)☐ 0 Pod Mottle (Bean Pod Mottle Virus)☐ 0 Seed Mottle (Soybean Mosaic Virus)

NEMATODE DISEASES:

Soybean Cyst Nematode (*Heterodera glycines*)☐ 0 Race 1 ☐ 0 Race 2 ☐ 2 Race 3 ☐ 2 Race 4 ☐ Other (Specify) _____☐ 0 Lance Nematode (*Hoplolaimus Colomus*)☐ 2 Southern Root Knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*)☐ 0 Northern Root Knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne Hapla*)☐ 0 Peanut Root Knot Nematode (*Meloidogyne arenaria*)☐ 0 Reniform Nematode (*Rotylenchulus reniformis*)☐ OTHER DISEASE NOT ON FORM (Specify): _____

20. PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)

☐ 0 Iron Chlorosis on Calcareous Soil☐ Other (Specify) _____

21. INSECT REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)

☐ 0 Mexican Bean Beetle (*Epilachna varivestis*)☐ 0 Potato Leaf Hopper (*Empoasca fabae*)☐ Other (Specify) _____

22. INDICATE WHICH VARIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SUBMITTED.

| CHARACTER | NAME OF VARIETY | CHARACTER | NAME OF VARIETY |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Plant Shape | 9581 | Seed Coat Luster | 9581 |
| Leaf Shape | 9581 | Seed Size | 9581 |
| Leaf Color | 9581 | Seed Shape | 9581 |
| Leaf Size | 9581 | Seedling Pigmentation | 9581 |

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

| VARIETY | NO. OF DAYS MATURITY | PLANT LODGING SCORE | CM PLANT HEIGHT | LEAFLET SIZE | | SEED CONTENT | | SEED SIZE G/100 SEEDS | NO. SEEDS/POD |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | | | | CM Width | CM Length | % Protein | % Oil | | |
| 9582 Submitted | 141 | 2.1 | 87 | | | 40.0 | 21.2 | 14 | |
| 9581 Name of Similar Variety | 139 | 2.3 | 87 | | | 38.9 | 21.5 | 14 | |

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

Table 2. Paired Comparison (Days to Maturity) 1985-1988

| YR | LOC | 9582 (X_1) | 9581 (X_2) | $(X_1 - X_2)$ | $(X_1 - X_2)^2$ |
|-----------|-----|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 85 | 70 | 149.3 | 145.7 | 3.6 | 12.96 |
| 85 | 75 | 150.0 | 143.0 | 7.0 | 49.00 |
| 85 | 80 | 137.3 | 137.0 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| 86 | 69 | 134.3 | 131.3 | 3.0 | 9.00 |
| 86 | 70 | 144.0 | 139.0 | 5.0 | 25.00 |
| 86 | 76 | 132.3 | 130.0 | 2.3 | 5.29 |
| 86 | 67 | 152.0 | 144.3 | 7.7 | 59.29 |
| 86 | 69 | 133.0 | 126.3 | 6.7 | 44.89 |
| 86 | 70 | 144.3 | 139.3 | 5.0 | 25.00 |
| 86 | 76 | 132.0 | 131.3 | 0.7 | 0.49 |
| 87 | 70 | 143.0 | 139.7 | 3.3 | 10.89 |
| 87 | 67 | 150.3 | 147.0 | 3.3 | 10.89 |
| 87 | 70 | 141.3 | 139.3 | 2.0 | 4.00 |
| 87 | 80 | 123.3 | 123.0 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| 87 | 81 | 135.7 | 133.7 | 2.0 | 4.00 |
| 87 | 82 | 123.7 | 122.3 | 1.4 | 1.96 |
| 88 | 70 | 157.0 | 153.7 | 3.3 | 10.89 |
| 88 | 80 | 133.7 | 132.3 | 1.4 | 1.96 |
| 88 | 67 | 159.0 | 155.0 | 4.0 | 16.00 |
| 88 | 68 | 153.0 | 150.7 | 2.3 | 5.29 |
| 88 | 70 | 156.3 | 155.3 | 1.0 | 1.00 |
| 88 | 80 | 133.3 | 132.7 | 0.6 | 0.36 |
| 88 | 81 | 140.0 | 138.0 | 2.0 | 4.00 |
| 88 | 82 | 136.0 | 135.7 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| 88 | 70 | 156.3 | 155.7 | 0.6 | 0.36 |
| 88 | 81 | 142.7 | 142.0 | 0.7 | 0.49 |
| 88 | 82 | 135.7 | 135.3 | 0.4 | 0.16 |
| 88 | 70 | 156.7 | 153.0 | 3.7 | 13.69 |
| 88 | 80 | 136.0 | 133.3 | 2.7 | 7.29 |
| TOTAL | | 4,121.5 | 4,044.9 | 76.6 | 324.42 |
| \bar{X} | | 142.1 | 139.5 | 2.6 | |

N = 29

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{324.42 - [(76.6)^2/29]}{29(28)}} = 0.388$$

$$t = \frac{2.6}{0.388} = 6.701 \text{ ** for 30 df}$$

Table 1. Paired Comparison (Southern Rootknot Nematode) 1987-1988
(1 = susceptible, 9 = resistant)

| YR | REP | 9582 (X_1) | 9581 (X_2) | $(X_1 - X_2)$ | $(X_1 - X_2)^2$ |
|-----------|-----|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 87 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| 87 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 16 |
| 87 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| 87 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 87 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 16 |
| 87 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 |
| TOTAL | | 46 | 28 | 18 | 60 |
| \bar{X} | | 7.66 | 4.66 | 3.00 | |
| N = 6 | | | | | |

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{60 - [(18)^2/6]}{6(5)}} = 0.447$$

$$t = \frac{3.00}{0.447} = 6.71 ** \text{ for 5 df}$$